## Virus Yellows Threatens Western Sugar Beet Industry

## First major outbreak of beet leafhoppers east of Continental Divide . . . Significant increase last season in mechanical thinning

DENVER—Virus yellows, most serious menance to beet growers in western Europe, should receive increased study by American sugar beet growers. G. H. Coons, USDA pathologist, said at the eighth general meeting of the American Society of Sugar Beet Technologists here recently that in Europe losses in crop yields run as high as 50% as a result of virulent virus yellows. Milder strains, he stated, are widely spread in California and to some extent in Colorado. Though the disease has existed in California for many years, it was suspected for some time that unhealthy



Martin G. Weiss, Field Crop Research Branch, USDA, stressed the importance of cooperation in research in the opening session of the meeting

beets were a result of nitrogen deficiency or other causes. It was not until 1951 that a study of the symptoms indicated that the damage was being done by a virus. Subsequent work showed that virus yellows was responsible.

Papers were presented which gave the first results of appraisal tests to determine if a full investigation of virus yellows, which is vectored by aphids, is justified. Dr. Coons, in conjunction with J. O. Gaskill and L. B. Daniels, Colorado Agricultural Experimental Station, found in controlled plot tests at Fort Collins, Colo., that a loss of from 10 to 15% in root yield was caused by virus yellows. No significant effect on sucrose content was observed.

In tests conducted last year in California, C. W. Bennett, Charles Price, and Glenn E. Gillespie, USDA, found that average acre yields were 18.7 tons in inoculated plots and 28.8 tons in check plots (a reduction of 30% in sugar yield per acre). The time of infestation materially affected the degree of damage and weed hosts appeared to be of little importance in the spread of the disease. A systemic organic phosphate insecticide, dimenton, was used in the tests to spray at proper time both diseased and check spots.

Simply, the disease blocks the flow of carbohydrates from the leaves of the beets. There is no direct correlation between the intensity of the yellow color of the leaves and losses in yield. Control in the field has been found difficult in Europe. Dr. Coons advocates attention to development of breed varieties that are resistant to virus yellows as an attack on the problem. Limited work indicates that there are genes with virus yellows resistance characteristics in some inbreds.

**Spread of Leafhoppers.** In 1953, the first serious occurence of beet leafhoppers in sugar-beet producing areas east of the Continental Divide was seen in southwestern Kansas. Losses in sugar beet yields were as high as 50% in many areas. J. R. Douglass, USDA, believes that these leafhoppers, which transmit the

virus, causing curly tops, came from breeding areas of southern New Mexico and western Texas. Until the development of curly top-resistant beet varieties, the disease was serious in the intermountain area and many sugar plants were forced to close and shift to noninfested areas. While resistant strains have been developed and are now used, there is no commercially available variety that is resistant to both curly top and leaf spot, another disease prevalent in eastern growing areas. Douglass said that beet leafhopper weed-host complex has changed in favor of the insect in many areas during the past 30 years and there is a possibility that the leafhopper is becoming acclimated to new areas. However, he added that a serious curly top epidemic one season is no criterion that it will be followed by another in the succeeding season.

N. J. Giddings, USDA, confirmed that leafhoppers entering the Kansas and Colorado areas carried curly top virus strains that might be disastrous to the beet sugar industry there and advocated that growers must seek sugar beet varieties resistant to the disease. In a second paper, he showed that plants infected by virus yellows and then inoculated with curly top are more likely to be severely infected with the second disease than comparable healthy plants.

## Industry

## Atlas Expands Toward Food Industry

ATLAS Powder Co. has announced plans for moving more strongly into the manufacture and distribution of products for the food industry. The company will build two new plants this year for the production of monoand diglycerides and other emulsifiers, including polyoxyethylene-modified materials, for the food industry. At the same time the sales and research groups of the company's chemicals department will be realigned with the formation of a special food industry division.

The larger of the two new plants will be built at Memphis, Tenn., at a cost of more than \$1 million and is scheduled for completion by late 1954. The other plant, at Bradford, Ontario, will cost about \$350,000 and will be in operation in about six months.

Both plants will produce emulsifiers for use in baked goods, ice cream, candy, and other foods. The emulsifiers will be fatty acid derivatives of sorbitol and glycerol modified with polyoxyethylene radicals, resin acids, or other modifying groups (see chart).

Already Active in Food Industry. This new construction step and the formation of the food industry division serves as a declaration that Atlas will broaden its efforts in the food field, where it already has an active position. The strength of that position has grown from success with mono- and diglyceride emulsifiers which the company has been marketing during recent years. With both price and supply of fats and oils making them very attractive as raw materials for chemical manufacturing, Atlas has decided to push the development of a position there parallel to its basic position in the use of sugars as raw materials for sorbitol. Thus the company will be becoming an increasing factor in the chemical conversion of agricultural products while at the same time devoting an increasing amount of its attention to the food field.

One of the company's efforts received a setback recently when its Myrj-45 emulsifier, an ester of a polymer of ethylene oxide (polyoxyethylene stearate), was not included as an optional